28

GRAHAM**HOLLIS** APC Graham S.P. Hollis (SBN 120577) FILED ghollis@grahamhollis.com Superior Court of California Vilmarie Cordero (SBN 268860) County of Los Angeles vcordero@grahamhollis.com 07/11/2023 Hali M. Anderson (SBN 261816) David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court handerson@grahamhollis.com 3555 Fifth Avenue, Suite 200 P. Herrera San Diego, California 92103 Telephone: 619.692.0800 Facsimile: 619.692.0822 Attorneys for Plaintiff DAVONTY HENDRIX SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES** 9 DAVONTY HENDRIX, on behalf of all Case No.: 21STCV08231 similarly situated and/or aggrieved employees CLASS & REPRESENTATIVE ACTION 11 of Defendants in the State of California, Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING 12 PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION 13 v. SETTLEMENT, AND REQUEST FOR CLASS LUCKY SEVEN DRAGONS, INC. DBA COUNSEL FEES PAYMENT, LITIGATION PROTECTIVE SHIELD SECURITY; and EXPENSES PAYMENT, AND CLASS DOES 1 THROUGH 50, inclusive, REPRESENTATIVE SERVICE PAYMENT; AND JUDGMENT THEREON Defendants. 16 Date: July 11, 2023 17 Time: 11:00 a.m. SSC-7 Dept: Hon. Lawrence P. Riff Judge: 18 19 [Filed concurrently herewith Notice of Motion; Memorandum of Points and Authorities; and Declarations of Vilmarie Cordero, Davonty 20 Hendrix, and Kaylie O'Connor] 21 Complaint Filed: March 1, 2021 Trial Date: None set 22 23 24 This matter, having come before the Honorable Lawrence P. Riff in Department 7 of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Los Angeles, on July 11, 2023 at 11:00 a.m., for 26 the motion by Plaintiff Davonty Hendrix ("Plaintiff") for Final Approval of Class and PAGA Action

Deputy

Settlement, and Request for Class Counsel Fees Payment, Litigation Expenses Payment, and Class

Representative Service Payment ("Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval") pursuant to California Rules of

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

Court, Rule 3.769 and Labor Code section 2698 et seq.:

On January 24, 2023, the Court granted Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for: (1) Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Representative Action Settlement; (2) Provisional Certification of the Settlement Class; (3) Approval of Class Notice and Notice Plan; (4) Appointment of Class Counsel and Class Representatives; (5) Appointment of Settlement Administrator; and (6) Setting a Final Approval Hearing and entered an Order in accordance therewith.

Having duly considered all papers, evidence, and oral arguments in this matter to date, including Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval and good cause appearing, the Court ORDERS as follows:

- 1. This Order shall incorporate the parties' Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice ("Settlement Agreement") and to the extent that the terms are defined in the Settlement Agreement, all defined terms contained herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims asserted in this Action and personal jurisdiction over Plaintiff, Defendant, the Class Members, and the Aggrieved Employees (collectively, "Settlement Class Members"), as defined in the Settlement Agreement.
- This Court finds that the applicable requirements of the California Code of Civil Procedure 3. section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, have been satisfied with respect to the Settlement Class Members and the Settlement. The Court makes final its earlier provisional certification of the following settlement class and subclass for the purposes of settlement only:

Non-Exempt Class: All current and former non-exempt employees of Defendant who worked in the State of California at any time during the Class Period.

Waiting Time Penalties Subclass: All members of the Non-Exempt Class whose employment with Defendant ended at any time from March 1, 2018, through May 13, 2022.

- 4. The Court also confirms the following appointments: Plaintiff Davonty Hendrix as the Class Representative; GrahamHollis APC as Class Counsel; and CPT Group, Inc. as the Administrator.
- 5. The Court finds that the amended Court Approved Notice of Class Action Settlement and Hearing Date for Final Court Approval that was approved on January 24, 2023 and transmitted to the Settlement Class Members fully and accurately informed the Settlement Class Members of all material

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

elements of the Settlement, including their opportunity to request exclusion from the Settlement or object to the Settlement (notwithstanding the PAGA portion of the Settlement); was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Settlement Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the state of California, the United States Constitution, due process, Rule 3.766 of the California Rules of Court, and all other applicable laws.

- 6. Based on the Declaration of Kaylie O'Connor Regarding Class Notification and Settlement Administration, the Court finds that one Class Member submitted a request for exclusion.
- 7. Based on the Declaration of Kaylie O'Connor Regarding Class Notification and Settlement Administration, all papers filed in this Action, and oral argument, the Court finds that zero Class Members objected to the Settlement Agreement.
- 8. In accordance with California law, the Court hereby GRANTS final approval of the Settlement and finds it fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interest of the Settlement Class Members as a whole. Specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arm's-length negotiations between the parties and that the terms of the Settlement are, in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiff's case; the risks, expense, and complexity of claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court ORDERS and directs that the Settlement be effectuated in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.
- 9. The Court finds that a full opportunity has been afforded to the Settlement Class Members to participate in the hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval, and all Settlement Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard, have been heard. The Settlement Class Members have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the Settlement. Therefore, the Court ORDERS that, pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, and as of the Effective Date, Plaintiff and all Participating Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, release Released Parties from (i) all claims that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the Class Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint and

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

28

ascertained in the course of the Action. Except for Plaintiff Hendrix, as set forth in Sections 5.1 and 5.3 of the Settlement Agreement, Participating Class Members do not release any other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, or claims based on facts occurring outside the Class Period.

- 10. The Court further ORDERS that, pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, and as of the Effective Date, Plaintiff and all Participating and Non-Participating Class Members who are Aggrieved Employees are deemed to release, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties from all claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged based on the PAGA Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint and the PAGA Notice and ascertained in the course of the Action.
- 11. It is ORDERED that Settlement Class Members shall be prohibited and permanently enjoined from pursuing in any fashion the Released Claims against the Released Parties.
- 12. Defendant is ORDERED to fund the Gross Settlement Amount of \$225,000.00. Defendant is further ORDERED to fund the settlement with an initial payment of \$125,000.00 on or before December 31, 2022 and a final payment of \$100,000.00 on or before March 1, 2023.
- 13. The Administrator, CPT Group, Inc., is ORDERED to distribute the settlement funds in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. In accordance with Labor Code section 2699(1)(2), the Court has reviewed the Settlement Agreement as it relates to the allocation of civil penalties under the PAGA. The Court finds that the allocation of \$11,500.00 in civil penalties for claims under the PAGA is fair, reasonable, and appropriate. The Court GRANTS approval of the \$11,500.00 allocation towards claims under the PAGA.
- 15. The Court ORDERS that \$8,625.00 (75% of \$11,500.00) be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA"), as required by the statute.
- 16. The Court ORDERS that \$2,875.00 (25% of \$11,500.00) be allocated to the Net Settlement Amount for distribution of the PAGA Settlement Members who worked during the PAGA Period, as defined in the Settlement Agreement.

2

3

4

5

7

9

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

- 17. The Court ORDERS that the amount remaining in the Net Settlement Amount, estimated to be \$104,000.00, be issued to the Settlement Class Members based on the number of workweeks worked during the Class Period, as defined by the Settlement Agreement.
- The Court finds that the requested Service Payment of \$5,000.00 to named Plaintiff Davonty Hendrix is fair and reasonable for the work performed and the risks associated with bringing this Action. The Court awards and thus ORDERS that the Administrator issue a Service Payment to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00. The Service Payment is in addition to Plaintiff's respective payment under the Settlement.
- 19. The Court finds that Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$75,000.00 falls within the range of reasonableness and the result achieved justifies the award sought. The Court awards and therefore ORDERS the Administrator issue attorneys' fees in the amount of \$75,000.00 to GrahamHollis APC.
- 20. The Court finds that Class Counsel's request for litigation costs incurred in the amount of [\$ Amount] falls within the range of reasonableness and the result achieved justifies the award sought. The Court awards and thus ORDERS that the Administrator issue payment for litigation costs incurred in the amount of \$17,000.00 to GrahamHollis APC.
- 21. The Court finds that the request for Administration Expenses Payment in the amount of @ `|åÁà^Á^å` &^åÁţ ÁÅF€Ê€€€È€€ \$12,500.00 is iate. The Court awards and thus ORDERS that the Administrator issue payment for Administration Expenses Payment to CPT Group, Inc. in the amount of \$12,500.00. ÅFCECCECEÄY,@Áxaååããj}adÁÅCÉEÉÁ@dHÁà^Áxaåå^åÁfÁc@Á,^cÁ^q<{^}oÁxe;zájaæå|^Á|¦Á åã dãa cãa Ág Ág Ág Ág Ægæ • ĒĀ Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval is GRANTED. 22.
- 23. Pursuant to Rule 3.769, subdivision (h), of the California Rules of Court, this Court retains exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over this action and the parties for the purposes of: (a) supervising the implementation, enforcement, construction, and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement, and the Order of Final Approval and Judgment; and (b) supervising distribution of amounts paid under this Settlement.
 - 24. The Court sets a compliance hearing regarding the distribution of the settlement funds for Ø^ঠĭæb^ÁFÎÁG€GHÁsæÁJK€€ÁsæÈ ÈÁ a.m./p.m. in Department 7 of the Los Angeles County

1	Superior Court. At least 5 court days before the hearing, Class Counsel and the Administrator shall submit
2	a summary accounting of the Net Settlement Fund identifying distributions made as ordered herein, the
3	number and value of any uncashed checks, the status of the redistributed funds, the status of any
4	unresolved issues, and any other matter appropriate to bring to the Court's attention. Counsel may appear
5	at the compliance hearing remotely.
6	IT IS SO ORDERED AND JUDGMENT IS HEREBY ENTERED.
7	07/11 / 2023 (
8	Dated:
9	Honorabie Transcence Rikiff Judge Judge of the Superior Court
10	
11	
12	
13	